UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/891,828	06/25/2001	Norman Katz	441-26-001	1840
23935 MODDEL DAT	7590 12/13/2007		EXAM	INER
KOPPEL, PATRICK & HEYBL 555 ST. CHARLES DRIVE			AKINTOLA,	OLABODE
SUITE 107 THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11100011110	57 Hz 5, 677 7 15 6 6		3691	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/891,828	KATZ, NORMAN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olabode Akintola	3691				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 Oc	<u>ctober 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3691

## DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/04/2007 has been entered.

The Declaration filed on 10/04/207 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Levchin reference.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.

Art Unit: 3691

2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-3 and 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker et al (USPN 5794207) (Walker) in view of Weiss et al (USPN 5866889) (Weiss), and further in view of Rosen (USPN 5455407) (Rosen1).

Re claim 1: Walker teaches an electronic funds transfer system comprising:

- a) a central controller CPU in electronic communication over the Internet with system users and participating banks, said central controller CPU accessible by one or more system users engaged in a fund transfer transaction, the CPU programmed to process the on-line transaction, monitor on-line electronic funds transfers and to function as a conduit for processing the transaction between system users (Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15);
- b) means at each of buyers and sellers participating bank, in communication with the central controller CPU, for buyers and sellers of goods or services to establish electronic funds accounts linked to demand deposit accounts in said participating banks (Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15),
- c) a transaction processor module associated with said central controller CPU for processing interactive letters of credit, establishing and releasing, encumbrances on electronic funds deposited in said electronics funds accounts as financial transactions are entered into and consummated, said transaction processor module acting on instructions from the first system user to pay identified obligations to another user of said electronic

Art Unit: 3691

funds transfer system (Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15; col. 22, lines 1-20);

d) a central controller storage module associated with the central controller CPU capable of storing information regarding all electronic on-line transactions between the buyers, sellers and the participating banks (Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15), said central controller CPU being programmed to automatically balance electronic funds with their corresponding bank reserves throughout the system (Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15); wherein the buyer in each transaction has control over the specification of electronic funds to be encumbered, the funds once encumbered being restricted from access by the buyer with the exception of release to the seller, unless released back to buyer by seller (Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15; col. 22, lines 1-20).

Walker does not explicitly teach establishing electronic funds accounts linked to demand deposit accounts in said participating banks; wherein electronic representations of currency purchased by said buyers from demand deposit accounts in said participating banks are deposited in said buyer's electronic funds account, said electronic representations of currency have an original monetary value tied to a selected actual currency (col. 4, lines 28-41); and on a periodic basis, balancing funds with corresponding bank reserves and issuing reports of such transaction

Weiss teaches establishing transaction accounts (electronic fund account) linked to demand deposit account in the same bank (col. 3, lines 5-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Walker to have such linked accounts so that the customer could access these accounts more easily.

Art Unit: 3691

Rosen1 teaches wherein electronic representations of currency purchased by said buyers from demand deposit accounts in said participating banks are deposited in said buyer's electronic funds account, said electronic representations of currency have an original monetary value tied to a selected actual currency (col. 1, lines 15-19); and on a periodic basis, balancing funds with corresponding bank reserves and issuing reports of such transaction (col. 35, lines 51-60). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Walker to include these features as taught by Rosen1. One would have been motivated to do so in order to utilize universally accepted electronic representations of money that can be exchanged as economic value by the buyers and sellers.

Walker in view of Weiss in view of Rosen1 hereinafter referred to as "Modified Walker".

Re claim 2: Modified Walker teaches a new account module in communication with the central controller, said new account module accessible by users over the Internet, for qualifying new users and recording initial electronic representations of currency reserves deposited in support of electronic funds accounts at said participating bank (Rosen1: abstract; col. 3, lines 44-45).

Re claim 3: Modified Walker teaches voice or video communications capability between system users and the central controller CPU (Rosen1: col. 8, lines 12-23; col. 10, lines 41-43).

Art Unit: 3691

Re claim 5: Modified Walker teaches encryption, de-encryption and re-encrypting capabilities for recording and storing transaction records in a secure data storage facility, data stored for each transaction being accessible only by the participants of the transaction and an authorized operator of the electronic funds transfer system (Rosen1: col. 8, lines 12-23;col. 3, lines 1-5).

Re claim 6: Modified Walker teaches that the system is accessible by a buyer and seller communicating therewith over the Internet using the central controller CPU as an intermediary, the central controller CPU providing information services, a data link between users, record financial transactions, funds encumbrances and unencumbrancing thereof and to reconcile funds transfers on completion of a transaction to the satisfaction of the buyer and seller (Walker: Figs. 2 and 13, col. 20, line 48 through col. 21, line 15; col. 22, lines 1-20).

Re claim 7: Modified Walker teaches wherein electronic funds encumbered by a first buyer for the benefit of a first seller can be re-encumbered by said first seller for the benefit of one or more second sellers or funds providers to which said first seller owes a financial obligation, such that when the transaction between the first buyer and the first seller is completed and the encumbrance by the first buyer on first buyer funds is released, the released funds are automatically transferred, pursuant to instructions of first seller; to such one or more second sellers or funds providers, and prior sellers to said

Art Unit: 3691

second sellers as so instructed by such participants electronically within the system

(Rosen1: col. 5, lines 22-43; col. 8, lines 24-29).

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walker in view of Weiss in view of Rosen1 as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, in view of Rosen2 (USPN 5557518) ("Rosen2").

Re claim 4: Modified Walker does not explicitly teach electronic and person assisted dispute resolution and customer support services. Rosen2 teaches electronic and person assisted dispute resolution and customer support services (col. 2, lines 38-41; col. 9, lines 41-43; col. 28, lines 39-67). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Walker to include this step. One would have been motivated to do so in order to resolve disputes arising from the transaction between the buyer and seller.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Rosen (USPN 5453601 and 5453601) teach electronic monetary system.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Olabode Akintola whose telephone number is 571-272-3629. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30AM -5:00PM.

Art Unit: 3691

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

OA

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER